

## CSC721 Algorithms Fall 2017

### LUP Decomposition

Let  $A$  be a non-singular  $n \times n$  matrix where  $n = 2^k$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . The  $LUP$ -decomposition of  $A$  is computed by a recursive divide-and-conquer algorithm. The top-level call to start the computation is:

```
FACTOR( A, n, n )
```

As we descend the levels of recursion, the algorithm works by dividing the rows of  $A$  into submatrices. For this reason, we assume that the input to `FACTOR` is a  $m \times p$  (rectangular) matrix with fewer rows than columns, i.e.,  $m \leq p$ .

```
(L,U,P) = FACTOR( A, m, p )
{
  if ( m == 1 ) {
    Let L be a 1 × 1 matrix, L = [1] ;
    Find a column c of A such that A1,c ≠ 0 ;
    Let P be the p × p permutation matrix that exchanges column 1 and c. Note P = P-1.
    Let U = AP ;
    return (L,U,P) ;
  }
  else {
    Partition A into two blocks B and C, both size m/2 × p ; /* See figure (a). */
    (L1,U1,P1) = FACTOR( B, m/2, p ) ;
    D = CP1-1 ; /* See figure (b). */
    Let E be the first m/2 columns of U1 ;
    Let F be the first m/2 columns of D ; /* See figure (c). */
    G = D - FE-1U1 ; /* See figure (d). */
    Let G' be the rightmost p - m/2 columns of G ;
    (L2,U2,P2) = FACTOR( G', m/2, p - m/2 ) ;
    Let P3 be the p × p permutation matrix with Im/2×m/2 in the upper left and
      P2 in the lower right ; /* See figure (e). */
    H = U1P3-1 ; /* See figure (f). */
    Let L be the m × m matrix consisting of L1, 0m/2×m/2, FE-1, and L2 ; /* See figure (g). */
    Let U be the m × p matrix with H in the upper block and 0m/2×m/2 joined to U2
      in the lower block ; /* See figure (g). */
    P = P3P1 ; /* See figure (g). */
    return (L,U,P) ;
  }
}
```

To analyze the LUP algorithm, we assume that the matrix size  $n$  is a power of two ; i.e.,  $n = 2^\ell$  for some  $\ell \geq 0$ . The formal parameter  $m$  is initially  $n$  and is always divided by two at each level in the recursion. Therefore,  $m = 2^k$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . Let  $T(m)$  denote the time taken by algorithm FACTOR() and let  $M(m)$  denote the time needed to multiply two  $m \times m$  matrices. In the proof of an upper bound for  $T(m)$ , we need the following hypothesis:

$$\text{There exists a small positive number } \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that: } 2^{2+\epsilon}M(m) \leq M(2m) \quad (1)$$

Roughly speaking, equation (1) says that  $M(m)$  grows slightly faster than  $\Omega m^2$ . By carefully counting the time taken by each step in the pseudo-code for FACTOR, we arrive at the following recurrence inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} T(1) &\leq bn \quad // \text{ Since } p \leq n. \\ T(m) &\leq 2T(m/2) + \frac{en}{m}M(m/2) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $e$  is a constant.

We solve the recurrence inequality by writing out the usual table of inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} T(m) &\leq 2T(m/2) + en/m M(m/2) \\ T(m/2) &\leq 2T(m/4) + 2en/m M(m/4) \\ T(m/4) &\leq 2T(m/8) + 2^2en/m M(m/8) \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ T(m/2^{k-1}) &\leq 2T(1) + 2^{k-1}en/m M(m/2^k) \end{aligned}$$

We need to multiply each equation above by the appropriate power of two so that cancellation will occur when we sum.

$$\begin{aligned} T(m) &\leq 2T(m/2) + en/m M(m/2) \\ + \quad 2T(m/2) &\leq 2^2T(m/4) + 2en/m M(m/4) \cdot 2 \\ + \quad 2^2T(m/4) &\leq 2^3T(m/8) + 2^2en/m M(m/8) \cdot 2^2 \\ + &\quad \cdot \\ + &\quad \cdot \\ + &\quad \cdot \\ + \quad 2^{k-1}T(\frac{m}{2^{k-1}}) &\leq 2^kT(1) + 2^{k-1}en/m M(m/2^k) \cdot 2^{k-1} \\ \hline T(m) &\leq bmn + \frac{en}{m} [M(m/2) + 4M(m/4) + 4^2M(m/8) + \dots + 4^{k-1} M(m/2^k)] \\ &= bmn + \frac{en}{4m} [4M(m/2) + 4^2M(m/4) + 4^3M(m/8) + \dots + 4^k M(m/2^k)] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The sum in the last expression above can be simplified using the first part of our hypothesis regarding  $M(m)$ . Recall:

$$2^{2+\epsilon} M(m) \leq M(2m) \quad (4)$$

We can re-formulate inequality (4) as:

$$M(m/2) \leq \frac{1}{2^{2+\epsilon}} M(m) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2^\epsilon} M(m) \quad (5)$$

We can also generalize inequality (5) to  $j$  factors of 2 in the denominator.

$$M(m/2^j) \leq \frac{1}{4^j} \cdot \frac{1}{(2^\epsilon)^j} M(m) \quad (6)$$

We apply inequality (6) to each term in the last result from equation (3) to obtain:

$$T(m) \leq bmn + \frac{en}{4m} M(m) \left[ \frac{1}{2^\epsilon} + \frac{1}{(2^\epsilon)^2} + \frac{1}{(2^\epsilon)^3} + \dots + \frac{1}{(2^\epsilon)^k} \right] \quad (7)$$

We observe that the summation in equation (7) is a convergent series and is bounded by a constant. We combine that constant with  $e$ , and the factor of 4 in the denominator into a new constant,  $\hat{e}$  to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} T(m) &\leq bmn + \frac{\hat{e}n}{m} M(m) \\ &\in \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n}{m} M(m)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

At the top level call, we have  $m = n$ . Therefore,  $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(M(n))$  .