

**CSC702** **Spring 2015**  
**Theory of Computation – HW # 3**

1. Given the following grammar  $G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E &\rightarrow E + T \mid T \\ T &\rightarrow (E) \mid x \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Give a derivation for:  $x + (x + x)$
  - (b) Draw a parse tree for your derivation
  - (c) Use the construction in the proof of Theorem 2.12 to construct (draw) a PDA which accepts  $L(G)$ .
  - (d) Convert the grammar  $G$  to Chomsky Normal Form (CNF).
2. Prove (suggestion: use induction) that if  $G$  is a context free grammar in Chomsky normal form, then for any string  $w \in L(G)$  of length  $n \geq 1$ , exactly  $2n - 1$  steps are required for any derivation of  $w$ .
3. Show that the set of CFL are closed under the regular operations: **set union**, **concatentation**, and **Kleene closure**.
4. Show that the set of CFL are not closed under set intersection.
5. Is  $L = \{a^n b^{2n} \mid n \geq 0\}$  a CFL ? Prove your result.

6. Let:

$$S = \{a^n \mid n \text{ is a perfect square}\}$$

Use the pumping lemma for CFL to show that  $S$  is not a CFL.<sup>1</sup>

7. Extend the formal definition of a PDA to a 2-stack PDA. I.e., modify the definition of a 1-stack PDA:

$$P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

In your formal definition of a 2-stack PDA, define each member of the tuple (e.g.,  $Q, \Sigma$ , etc.) used by your definition.

8. Show that a 2-stack PDA is “more powerful” than a 1-stack PDA. I.e., there exists a language which can be accepted by a 2-stack PDA and can not be accepted by a 1-stack PDA.

*Hint:* Consider  $\hat{L} = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ .

Note: For this problem, give an informal (English) description of how a two stack PDA can recognize  $\hat{L}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>An integer  $n$  is a perfect square if and only if there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $k^2 = n$ .